



Department of Health

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

HOWARD A. ZUCKER, M.D., J.D.
Commissioner

SALLY DRESLIN, M.S., R.N.
Executive Deputy Commissioner

May 13, 2016

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Eric Senat, M.D.
[REDACTED]

William C. Thompson, Esq.
David M. Elsen, Esq.
16 Court Street – 35th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11241

Paul Tsui, Esq.
NYS Department of Health
ESP-Corning Tower-Room 2512
Albany, New York 12237

RE: In the Matter of Eric Senat, M.D. – Supplemental Determination

Dear Parties:

Enclosed please find the **Supplemental Determination** (No. 16-161) of the Hearing Committee in the above referenced matter. This Determination shall be deemed effective upon the receipt or seven (7) days after mailing by certified mail as per the provisions of §230, subdivision 10, paragraph (h) of the New York State Public Health Law.

If you still have your license, five days after receipt of this Order, you will be required to deliver to the Board of Professional Medical Conduct your license to practice medicine together with the registration certificate. Delivery shall be by either certified mail or in person to:

Office of Professional Medical Conduct
New York State Department of Health
Office of Professional Medical Conduct
Riverview Center
150 Broadway - Suite 355
Albany, New York 12204

If your license or registration certificate is lost, misplaced or its whereabouts is otherwise unknown, you shall submit an affidavit to that effect. If subsequently you locate the requested items, they must then be delivered to the Office of Professional Medical Conduct in the manner noted above.

As prescribed by the New York State Public Health Law §230, subdivision 10, paragraph (i), (McKinney Supp. 2015) and §230-c subdivisions 1 through 5, (McKinney Supp. 2015), "the determination of a committee on professional medical conduct may be reviewed by the Administrative Review Board for professional medical conduct." Either the licensee or the Department may seek a review of a committee determination.

Request for review of the Committee's determination by the Administrative Review Board stays penalties other than suspension or revocation until final determination by that Board. Summary orders are not stayed by Administrative Review Board reviews.

All notices of review must be served, by certified mail, upon the Administrative Review Board and the adverse party within fourteen (14) days of service and receipt of the enclosed Determination and Order.

The notice of review served on the Administrative Review Board should be forwarded to:

James F. Horan, Esq., Chief Administrative Law Judge
New York State Department of Health
Bureau of Adjudication
Riverview Center
150 Broadway – Suite 510
Albany, New York 12204

The parties shall have 30 days from the notice of appeal in which to file their briefs to the Administrative Review Board. Six copies of all papers must also be sent to the attention of Mr. Horan at the above address and one copy to the other party. The stipulated record in this matter shall consist of the official hearing transcript(s) and all documents in evidence.

Parties will be notified by mail of the Administrative Review Board's Determination and Order.

Sincerely,



James F. Horan
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Bureau of Adjudication

JFH:cah
Enclosure

STATE OF NEW YORK: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

OF

ERIC SENAT, M.D.
[REDACTED]

SUPPLEMENTAL
DETERMINATION

BPMC#16-161

CCPY

The New York State Department of Health ("the Petitioner") served a Commissioner's Order of Summary Suspension and Notice of Referral Proceeding with Statement of Charges upon Eric Senat, M.D., ("the Respondent") based on his felony conviction under federal law. Pursuant to § 230(10)(e) of the Public Health Law, Trevor A. Litchmore, M.D., Chair, Joseph S. Baler, M.D., and David F. Irvine, DHSc, PA-C, duly designated members of the State Board for Professional Medical Conduct, served as the Hearing Committee in this matter.

A hearing was held on February 19, 2015. The Respondent was incarcerated at the time and did not appear either personally or by his attorneys. The Petitioner appeared by James E. Dering, Esq., General Counsel, by Paul Tsui, Esq., of Counsel. David A. Lenihan, Esq., served as the Administrative Officer. Evidence was received and transcripts of the proceedings were made. The Hearing Committee determined that the Respondent committed professional misconduct under § 6530(9)(a)(ii) of the Education Law, by engaging in conduct that resulted in a conviction under federal law, and voted to revoke the Respondent's license to practice medicine in New York State. A copy of the Hearing Committee's Determination and Order, which issued on March 31, 2015, is annexed as Appendix A.

In a proceeding pursuant to § 230-c(4)(a) of the Public Health Law, the Respondent asked the Administrative Review Board ("ARB") to reverse the Hearing Committee's determination. The ARB voted to remand the matter to the Hearing Committee and instructed the Hearing Committee to conduct additional proceedings to provide the Respondent with an opportunity to appear, and to give the Hearing Committee an opportunity to consider again the record from the Respondent's conviction.

The Hearing Committee reconvened on April 7, 2016. The Respondent appeared in person and was represented by Ross & Hill, William J. Thompson, Esq., of Counsel, and David M. Eisen, Esq. The Petitioner appeared by Richard J. Zahnleuter, General Counsel, by Paul Tsui, Esq., of Counsel. William J. Lynch, Esq., served as the Administrative Officer.

WITNESSES

For the Petitioner:

None

For the Respondent:

Eric Senat, M.D.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The following Findings of Fact were made after a review of the entire record in this matter. Numbers below in parentheses refer to exhibits, denoted by the prefix "Ex." These citations refer to evidence found persuasive by the Hearing Committee in arriving at a particular finding. Conflicting evidence, if any, was considered and rejected in favor of the cited evidence. All Hearing Committee findings were unanimous.

1. Eric Senat, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on November 23, 1987, by the issuance of license number 173063 by the New York State Education Department. (Petitioner's Ex. 3).

2. On or about July 31, 2014, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, the Respondent was found guilty, based on a plea of guilty, of Health Care Fraud, a felony. (Petitioner's Ex. 4).

3. On or about July 31, 2014, the Respondent was sentenced, inter alia, to terms of 15 months imprisonment, to be followed by two years of supervised probation, payment of \$324,726.05 in restitution, a \$10,000.00 fine, and a special assessment of \$100.00. (Petitioner's Ex. 5).

VOTE OF THE HEARING COMMITTEE

FIRST SPECIFICATION

Respondent violated New York Education Law Section 6530(9)(a)(ii) by having been convicted of committing an act constituting a crime under federal law.

VOTE: (3-0) Sustained

HEARING COMMITTEE DETERMINATION

As instructed by the ARB, the Hearing Committee considered again the record from the Respondent's conviction. The Hearing Committee amends a portion of one sentence on page five of its prior determination which stated that the Respondent "fraudulently billed Medicaid for many years" to read instead that the Respondent "fraudulently billed health care benefit programs from at least in or about March 2006 through at least in or about January 2013." The record of the Respondent's conviction establishes that he submitted over \$600,000 in fraudulent claims and was reimbursed approximately \$324,726.05 which he was required to pay in restitution to the following victims of his offense: Allstate Insurance Company, C.N.A., Chartis/AIG, Geico, GHI/Emblem Health, Hanover Insurance Co., Liberty Mutual Insurance, Mapfree Insurance, New York Central Mutual Fire Insurance Company, New York State

Insurance Fund, Progressive Casualty Insurance Co, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co., Travelers Insurance Company, USAA, and Zurich American Insurance. There is no evidence that Medicaid was one of his victims.

As instructed by the ARB, the Hearing Committee provided the Respondent with an opportunity to appear and testify. The Respondent testified that he took full responsibility for billing health insurance companies for fees at the physician rate when he should have billed them at the physician assistant rate, but he claimed that his conduct was not intentional. The Respondent's explanation was that a template in the computerized billing program which he began using in 2007, had a box to be checked if he personally provided the services listed, and a second box to be checked if he supervised a health care provider who provided the service. According to the Respondent, he checked the first box in the template in 2007, indicating that he had provided the services, and that he inadvertently used these forms even after he employed a physician assistant in 2008, who provided services under his supervision.

First and foremost, the Hearing Committee rejects the Respondent's explanation of negligent billing as inconsistent with his plea and conviction in federal court on the charge of his having knowingly and willfully executed a scheme to defraud a health care benefit program. In federal court, the Respondent's attorney claimed that the Respondent may have mistakenly submitted bills as work that he performed which was actually work performed by a physician assistant, but acknowledged to the court that the Respondent's billing "certainly was not mistaken as time went on." (Respondent Ex. A, p.10-11).

In addition, the Respondent's explanation that he signed and submitted bills for almost seven years without realizing that he was billing at the physician rate for services which were provided by a physician assistant was not credible. The template form which the Respondent provided to explain his billing was a Worker's Compensation form used for initial visits which

indicated that it was being signed under penalty of perjury and contained blank lines to complete with a supervised provider's name and specialty. (Petitioner Ex. 7). Respondent's claim that he signed these Workers Compensation forms without reviewing them to check the appropriate box and fill in the name and specialty of his employee was not credible. Moreover, his testimony provided no explanation for the fraudulent billing of his several other victims or the fraudulent billings which occurred before 2008, when he hired a physician assistant.

At his sentencing hearing in federal court, the Respondent's attorney sought a lesser penalty by pointing out the collateral consequences to a physician's criminal conviction. The transcript of that hearing indicates the Respondent's attorney stated "[i]n cases where there is a felony conviction relating to the medical practice itself, [...] it is very common for a physician's license to be revoked." (Petitioner Ex. 5, p. 109). In his testimony to the Hearing Committee, however, the Respondent pointed to his criminal court sentence as a reason for the Hearing Committee to impose a lesser penalty than revocation. The Hearing Committee considered Respondent's argument but concluded that the penalty imposed upon the Respondent's license for his professional misconduct should not be reduced because he had already experienced consequences for his criminal conduct.

The Respondent also contended that his years of providing caring medical services to his patients warranted a lesser penalty and claimed that his motivation was not financial. However, the record establishes that the Respondent was convicted of a felony for having knowingly and willfully executed a scheme to defraud health care benefit programs for a period of almost seven years. Fraud in the practice of medicine, standing alone, provides grounds on which to revoke a medical license (*see Galin v. DeBuono*, 259 AD2d 788 [1999]). In addition, the Respondent demonstrated through his testimony that he has not taken responsibility for his intentional fraud, and the refusal to accept responsibility for prior wrongful conduct is a

significant factor in assessing an appropriate penalty (see *Matter of Celestin v. Novello*, 43 AD3d [2007]). Although restitution was made to the health care benefit programs stated above, the cost of health care fraud is typically passed on to policyholders and taxpayers. The Respondent used his position as a licensed physician in this State to commit this scheme of health care fraud, and the Respondent's criminal conduct violated the public trust (see *Matter of Margini v. DeBuono*, 255 AD2d 640 [1998]).

The Hearing Committee considered the full spectrum of penalties available pursuant to statute, including revocation, suspension and/or probation, censure and reprimand, and the imposition of monetary penalties. Having fully reviewed the record in this matter and considered the Respondent's testimony, the Hearing Committee unanimously affirms its prior Determination and Order that Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of New York should be revoked.

DATED: Albany, New York
5/9/2016, 2016



Trevor A. Litchmore, M.D.
Chairperson

Joseph S. Baler, M.D.
David F. Irvine, DHSc, PA-C

To:

Eric Senat, M.D.

William C. Thompson, Esq.
David M. Eisen, Esq.
Attorneys for Respondent
16 Court Street, 35th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11241

Paul Tsul, Esq.
Attorney for Petitioner
NYS Department of Health
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct
Corning Tower, Room 2512
Albany, New York 12237

APPENDIX A

**STATE OF NEW YORK: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT**

IN THE MATTER

OF

ERIC SENAT, M.D.


DETERMINATION

AND

ORDER

BPMC #15-080

ORIGINAL

A hearing was held on February 19, 2015, at the offices of the New York State Department of Health ("the Petitioner"). A Commissioner's Order and Notice of Referral Proceeding, dated December 17, 2014, and a Statement of Charges, dated December 18, 2014, were served upon the Respondent, **Eric Senat, M.D.**

Pursuant to Section 230(10)(e) of the Public Health Law, **Trevor A. Litchmore, M.D., Chair, Joseph S. Baler, M.D. and David R. Irvine, DHSc., R.P.A.,** duly designated members of the State Board for Professional Medical Conduct, served as the Hearing Committee in this matter. **David A. Lenihan, Esq.,** Administrative Law Judge, served as the Administrative Officer. The Petitioner appeared by **James E. Dering, Esq.,** General Counsel, by **Paul Tsui, Esq.,** of Counsel. The Respondent, **Eric Senat, M.D.,** did not appear, although duly served. Evidence was received and transcripts of these proceedings were made. After consideration of the entire record, the Hearing Committee issues this Determination and Order.

STATEMENT OF CASE

This case was brought pursuant to Public Health Law Section 230(10)(p). The statute provides for an expedited hearing when a licensee is charged solely with a violation of Education Law Section 6530(9). In such cases, a licensee is charged with misconduct based upon a prior criminal conviction in New York State or another jurisdiction, or upon a prior administrative adjudication regarding conduct that would amount to professional misconduct, if committed in New York. The scope of an expedited hearing is limited to a determination of the nature and severity of the penalty to be imposed upon the licensee.

In the instant case, the Respondent is charged with professional misconduct pursuant to Education Law §6530(9) (a)(ii) by having been convicted of committing an act constituting a crime under federal law, Health Care Fraud, in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. § 1347 and § 2.

Copies of the Commissioner's Order, Notice of Referral Proceeding and the Statement of Charges are attached to this Determination and Order as Appendix 1.

WITNESSES

For the Petitioner: None

For the Respondent: None

FINDINGS OF FACT

The following Findings of Fact were made after a review of the entire record in this matter. Numbers below in parentheses refer to exhibits, denoted by the prefix "Ex." These citations refer to evidence found persuasive by the Hearing Committee in arriving at a particular finding. Conflicting evidence, if any, was considered and rejected in favor of the cited evidence. All Hearing Committee findings were unanimous.

1. Eric Senat, M.D., the Respondent, did not appear at the hearing although duly and personally served with process. (Petitioner's Ex. 2 and transcript of pre-hearing conference, p. 3)
2. Eric Senat, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on November 23, 1987, by the issuance of license number 173063 by the New York State Education Department. (Petitioner's Ex. 3)
3. On or about August 6, 2014, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Respondent was found guilty, based on a plea of guilty, of Health Care Fraud, a felony. (Petitioner's Ex. 5)
4. On or about August 6, 2014, Respondent was sentenced, *inter alia*, to a term of 15 months imprisonment, to be followed by 2 years supervised probation, payment of \$324,726.05 of restitution, a \$10,000.00 fine and a special assessment of \$100.00. (Petitioner's Ex. 5)

VOTE OF THE HEARING COMMITTEE
SPECIFICATION

"Respondent violated New York Education Law Section 6530(9)(a)(ii) by being convicted of committing an act constituting a crime under federal law, to wit, the felony of Health Care Fraud, in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 1347 and 2..."

VOTE: Sustained (3-0)

HEARING COMMITTEE DETERMINATION

The Respondent did not appear at the hearing, either in person or by counsel. The Administrative Officer, after considering the documentary evidence, which included a letter from the Respondent's attorney requesting an adjournment and the admission of documents for his client (Petitioner's Exhibit 2), ruled that the Petitioner had met the requirements of law for due diligence in the service of process, that jurisdiction had been established over the Respondent, and that the hearing could proceed on the merits notwithstanding the Respondent's absence. It is noted that the Respondent was aware of this proceeding and had his attorney contact the Administrative Law Judge to advise that he would not be present but wished to have documents submitted on his behalf instead. It is further noted that the Respondent's attorney did acknowledge jurisdiction in a phone conference with the Administrative Law Judge and the Department's attorney, Mr. Tsui.

The record herein shows that the Respondent was found guilty of Health Care Fraud, a felony and was sentenced, *inter alia*, to a term of 15 months imprisonment, to be followed by 2 years supervised probation, payment of \$324,726.05 in restitution, a \$10,000.00 fine and a special assessment of \$100.00.

The Administrative Law Judge noted for the record (T. 15) that he had a telephone conference with the Respondent's attorney who indicated that his client would not be present but wished to have documents submitted on his behalf. These documents, Respondent's Exhibit A, were received into evidence without objection from the Department.

The panel read the sentencing minutes and noted the impassioned plea of the Respondent to be spared a jail sentence. (Exhibit 5 at page 24) The federal judge did not accept this plea and sentenced the Respondent to 15 months of imprisonment. Similarly, the panel noted the 35 letters of support submitted by the Respondent's attorney (See Exhibit A) but found that these laudatory letters did not exonerate the Respondent from his crime. The record shows that this Doctor fraudulently billed Medicaid for many years and, while he may have provided free services to many patients, there is no excuse for outright thievery and the panel was not swayed by these letters and pleas.

The Department's attorney, Mr. Tsui, stated that, given the nature of the felony conviction, there is no other appropriate outcome in New York State but to revoke the Respondent's New York license. The panel, unanimously, agreed with the Department on this recommendation.

As to the penalty, therefore, the Hearing Committee determined that the people of New York State would be protected by a revocation of the Respondent's license. Accordingly, the panel decided, unanimously, to revoke the Respondent's license under the circumstances of this case.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The license of the Respondent to practice medicine in New York State is **revoked**.
2. This Order shall be effective upon service on the Respondent in accordance with the requirements of Public Health Law Section 230(10) (h).

DATED: Schenectady, New York
March 28, 2015

Trevor A. Litchmore, M.D.

Joseph S. Baler, M.D.
David R. Irvine, DHSc, R.P.A.

To:

Eric Senat, M.D.
Kingsway Orthopedic Corp.
3156 Kings Hwy.
Brooklyn, NY 11234

Eric Senat, M.D.

Paul Tsui, Esq.
Attorney for Petitioner
Associate Attorney
NYS Department of Health
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct
Corning Tower, Room 2512
Albany, New York 12237

APPENDIX 1

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

OF

ERIC SENAT, M.D.
[REDACTED]

COMMISSIONER'S
ORDER
AND
NOTICE OF
REFERRAL
PROCEEDING

TO: Eric Senat, M.D.
Kingsway Orthopedic Corp.
3158 Kings Hwy.
Brooklyn, NY 11234

Eric Senat, M.D.
11 Oebra Court
Old Westbury, NY 11568

The undersigned, Howard A. Zucker, M.D., J.D., Acting Commissioner of Health, pursuant to New York Public Health Law §230, upon the recommendation of a Committee on Professional Medical Conduct of the State Board for Professional Medical Conduct, has determined that ERIC SENAT, M.D., Respondent, New York license number 173063, has been found guilty of committing an act constituting a felony under federal law, as is more fully set forth in the Statement of Charges attached hereto, and made a part hereof.

It is, therefore:

ORDERED, pursuant to New York Public Health Law §230(12)(b), that effective immediately, ERIC SENAT, M.D. shall not practice medicine in the State of New York or in any other jurisdiction where that practice is predicated on a valid New York State license to practice medicine.

ANY PRACTICE OF MEDICINE IN VIOLATION OF THIS COMMISSIONER'S ORDER SHALL CONSTITUTE PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT WITHIN THE MEANING OF NEW YORK EDUCATION LAW §6530(29) AND MAY CONSTITUTE UNAUTHORIZED MEDICAL PRACTICE, A FELONY, DEFINED BY NEW YORK EDUCATION LAW §6512.

EXHIBIT

#1

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing will be held pursuant to the provisions of New York Public Health Law §230 and New York State Administrative Procedure Act §§301-307 and 401. The hearing will be conducted before a committee on professional conduct of the State Board for Professional Medical Conduct on the 19th day of February, 2015, at 10:30 a.m., at Riverview Center, 150 Broadway, Suite 510, Albany, New York 12204-2719, at the offices of the New York State Health Department and at such other adjourned dates, times, and places as the committee may direct.

At the hearing, evidence will be received concerning the allegations set forth in the Statement of Charges that is attached. A stenographic record of the hearing will be made and the witnesses at the hearing will be sworn and examined. Respondent shall appear in person at the hearing and may be represented by counsel who shall be an attorney admitted to practice in New York state. Respondent has the right to produce witnesses and evidence on his behalf, to issue or have subpoenas issued on his behalf for the production of witnesses and documents, and to cross-examine witnesses and examine evidence produced against him. The licensee may file a brief and affidavits with the Committee on Professional Conduct. A summary of the Department of Health Hearing Rules is enclosed. Pursuant to §301(5) of the State Administrative Procedure Act, the Department, upon reasonable notice, will provide at no charge, a qualified interpreter of the deaf to interpret the proceedings to, and the testimony of, any deaf person.

If you intend to present sworn testimony, the number of witnesses and an estimate of the time necessary for their direct examination must be submitted to the New York State Department of Health, Division of Legal Affairs, Bureau of Adjudication, Riverview Center, 150 Broadway, Suite 510, Albany, NY 12204-2719, ATTENTION: HON. JAMES F. HORAN, ACTING DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF ADJUDICATION: (Telephone: 518-402-0748), (henceforth "Bureau of Adjudication") as well as the

Department of Health attorney indicated below, no later than then (10) days prior to the scheduled date of the Referral Proceeding, as indicated above.

Pursuant to the provisions of New York Public Health Law §230(10)(p), you shall file a written answer to each of the charges and allegations in the Statement of Charges no less than ten (10) days prior of the hearing. Any charge or allegation not so answered shall be deemed admitted. You may wish to seek the advice of counsel prior to filing such answer. The answer shall be filed with the Bureau of Adjudication, at the address indicated above, and a copy shall be forwarded to the attorney for the Department of Health, who name appears below. You may file a written brief and affidavits with the Committee. Six (6) copies of all papers you submit must be filed with the Bureau of Adjudication at the address indicated above, no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the scheduled date of the Referral Proceeding, and a copy of all papers must be served on the same date on the Department of Health attorney, indicated below. Pursuant to §301(5) of the State of Administrative Procedure Act, the Department, upon reasonable notice, will provide, at no charge, a qualified interpreter of the deaf to interpret the proceedings to, and the testimony of, any deaf person. Pursuant to the terms of New York State Administrative Procedure Act §401 and 10 N.Y.C.R.R. §51.8(b), the Petitioner demands, hereby, disclosure of the evidence that Respondent intends to introduce at the hearing, including the names of witnesses, a list of and copies of documentary evidence, and a description of physical and/or other evidence that cannot be photocopied.

The proceeding may be held whether or not you appear. Please note that requests for adjournments must be made in writing to the Bureau of Adjudication, at the address indicated above, with a copy of the request to the attorney for the Department of Health, whose name appears below, at least five (5) days prior to the scheduled date of the proceeding. Adjournment requests are not routinely granted. Claims of court engagement will require detailed affidavits of actual engagement. Claims of illness will

require medical documentation. Failure to obtain an attorney within a reasonable period of time prior to the proceeding will not be grounds for an adjournment.

The Committee will make a written report of its findings, conclusion as to guilt, and a determination. Such determination may be reviewed by the administrative review board for professional medical conduct.

YOU ARE ADVISED, HEREBY, THAT THE ATTACHED CHARGES WILL BE MADE PUBLIC FIVE (5) BUSINESS DAYS AFTER THEY ARE SERVED.

THESE PROCEEDINGS MAY RESULT IN A DETERMINATION THAT YOUR LICENSE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE IN NEW YORK STATE BE REVOKED OR SUSPENDED AND/OR THAT YOU BE FINED OR SUBJECT TO OTHER SANCTIONS SET FORTH IN NEW YORK PUBLIC HEALTH LAW §230-a. YOU ARE URGED TO OBTAIN AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT YOU IN THIS MATTER.

DATED: Albany, New York
17th of Dec. 2014


Howard A. Zucker, M.D., J.D.,
Acting Commissioner of Health
New York State Department of Health

Inquiries should be addressed to:

Paul Tsui
Associate Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct
Corning Tower - Room 2512
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12237
(518) 473-4282

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

OF

ERIC SENAT, M.D.
[REDACTED]

STATEMENT

OF

CHARGES

ERIC SENAT, M.D., Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on November 23, 1987, by the issuance of license number 173083 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. On or about August 8, 2014, in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Respondent was found guilty, based on a plea of guilty, of Health Care Fraud in violation of, 18 U.S.C. §1347 and §2, and sentenced to 15 months of prison, 2 years of probation with supervision, \$324,726.05 of restitution, a \$10,000.00 fine, and a \$100.00 special assessment.

SPECIFICATIONS

FIRST SPECIFICATION

Respondent violated New York Education Law §6530(9)(a)(ii) by being convicted of committing an act constituting a crime under federal law, in that Petitioner charges:

1. The facts in Paragraph A.

DATED: *December 18*, 2014
Albany, New York

[REDACTED]
MICHAEL A. HISER
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct